

From: Bonny Carroll <bcarroll@somervillema.gov>
Sent: Jan 06, 2025 17:56:11.000000000 UTC
Subject: Re: Somerville draft
To: Maureen Buzby <mbuzby@cityofmelrose.org>

No they haven't. Ok. I'll do that.
Thanks

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Buzby, Maureen <mbuzby@cityofmelrose.org>
Sent: Monday, January 6, 2025 9:12:40 AM
To: Bonny Carroll <bcarroll@somervillema.gov>
Subject: RE: Somerville draft

This email is from an external source. Use caution responding to it, opening attachments or clicking links.

Have they updated the old regs to match the state law that was passed in 2019, effective 1/1/2020?

That's the main thing they need to update. It just synchs local regs up with state law. Everything else can wait until after NFG.

Ken E will say you can do both – his board passed NFG and restricted nicotine pouches to ADORTS. Of course, they made a mistake and restricted flavored pouches to ADORTS. They have no ADORTS and flavors are already banned. But he prides his board for passing both and thinks everyone can do that. But you are right I've seen boards get tied up by too much to consider. They get bogged own. They can get confused. I think it muddies the water.

That said, I think you should talk with Karin and see what she says.

From: Bonny Carroll <bcarroll@somervillema.gov>
Sent: Monday, January 6, 2025 6:52 AM
To: Buzby, Maureen <mbuzby@cityofmelrose.org>
Subject: Somerville draft

Hi Maureen

Cheryl sent me the draft model regs for Somerville over the weekend but I overlooked something so am asking your advice.

She sent the full model reg that includes state/ local fine structure and pouches, neither of which I've prepped the board for. They've only been told of NFG at this point.

The draft must go to the legal dept before a hearing can happen and i intended to send to them today which would allow for the hearing to take place on the 23rd.

Seems like NFG should be the primary change the board is considering because I've heard you say the other changes to ergs can derail NFG.

But since we are charged with helping boards to make changes to their regs based on model regs, it would not seem efficient to only propose NFG but not model regs at the same time. But discussions of other aspects of model regs could take a whole other meeting thus

delaying January hearing. Or I would need to return to the board to request they adopt full model regs at a later time.

Thoughts?

Thanks

Bonny

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

City of Somerville Public Records Notice

Please be advised that the Massachusetts Attorney General has determined that email is a public record unless the content of the email falls within one of the stated exemptions under the Massachusetts Public Records Laws.

****CITY OF MELROSE PUBLIC RECORDS NOTICE: Please be advised that the Massachusetts Attorney General has determined that email is a public record unless the content of the email falls within one of the stated exemptions under the Massachusetts Public Records Laws.****

****CITY OF MELROSE PUBLIC RECORDS NOTICE: Please be advised that the Massachusetts Attorney General has determined that email is a public record unless the content of the email falls within one of the stated exemptions under the Massachusetts Public Records Laws.****

****CITY OF MELROSE PUBLIC RECORDS NOTICE: Please be advised that the Massachusetts Attorney General has determined that email is a public record unless the content of the email falls within one of the stated exemptions under the Massachusetts Public Records Laws.****